REMARKS

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding final Office Action mailed September 20, 2005. Claims 1 – 22 remain pending, claim 21 having been amended. Reconsideration and allowance of the application and presently pending claims are respectfully requested.

Indication of Allowable Subject Matter

The Office Action indicates that claims 13 and 14 are allowable if rewritten in independent form. For at least the reasons set forth below, Applicant respectfully asserts that all pending claims are in condition for allowance.

Claim Objections

The Office Action indicates that claim 21 is objected to due to an alleged ambiguity. In this regard, Applicant has amended the claim in the manner suggested by the Examiner and in the manner consistent with the examination of that claim, as indicated in the Action.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully asserts that the objection has been accommodated and that the amendment does not necessitate a new search. Thus, entry of this amendment is respectfully requested.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103

The Office Action indicates that claims 1 – 8, 10 – 12, 15 – 17, 21 and 22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Naberhuis* in view of *Pfeiffer*.

The Office Action also indicates that claims 9 and 18 - 20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Naberhuis* in view of *Pfeiffer*, and further in view of *Notte*. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections.

In this regard, Applicant respectfully notes that *Naberhuis* is prior art to this application only under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e). Since the rejections of the pending claims are under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a), Applicant respectfully asserts that *Naberhuis* may not be properly asserted against this application. That is, at the time the invention was made, the subject matter of *Naberhuis* and that of the claimed invention were owned by the same person or subject to assignment to the same person, in this case Hewlett-Packard Company. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests that Naberhuis be removed as a reference for rejecting the pending claims.

Since *Pfeiffer* and *Notte*, either individually or in combination, do not teach or reasonably suggest all of the limitations recited in Applicant's claims, Applicant respectfully asserts that the claims are in condition for allowance.

Cited Art Made of Record

The cited art made of record has been considered, but is not believed to affect the patentability of the presently pending claims.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and for at least the reasons set forth above, Applicant respectfully submits that all objections and/or rejections have been traversed, rendered moot, and/or accommodated, and that the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,

M. Paul Qualey, Reg/No. 43,024

THOMAS, KAYDEN,
HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.
Suite 1750
100 Galleria Parkway N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30339
(770) 933-9500

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450,

on 10/25/05.

Stephonie Riley